DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final

2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name: Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site

Facility Address: 10808 Highway 93, Golden, CO 80403-8200

CO7890010526

Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e g, from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?

X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below
If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide))

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

SW-A-004117

17 Ct

DMIN RECORD

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA) The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs) Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

El Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (1 e, RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information)

Is **groundwater** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective "levels" (1 e, applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?

X If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation

If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated"

If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

Analyses from a network of groundwater monitoring wells are compared to action levels (Maximum Contaminant Levels or levels based on 10⁻⁶ risk for ingestion by a resident) The latest measurements above these groundwater action levels include organic compounds (carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, 1,1-dichloroethene, cis 1,2-dichloroethene, methylene chloride, tetrachloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, trichloroethene, vinyl chloride), metals (barium, cadmium, chromium, lithium, manganese, nickel, selenium, thorium), and nitrate and nitrite. These exceedences of action levels have triggered evaluations of impacts to surface water and corrective actions including source removals and installation of groundwater treatment systems. Radionuclides are not include in this rationale.

- Historical Release Report for the Rocky Flats Plant, May 1992 (plus quarterly and annual updates)
- RFCA Facility Investigations / Remedial Investigations for Operable Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 15, 16
- Data reports for Operable Units 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14
- Corrective Action Decisions / Records of Decision for Operable Units 1, 3, 11, 15, 16
- Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, July 1996 (contains standards and action levels used to determine if a contaminated medium could pose an unacceptable risk)
- Quarterly RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Reports for Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site

Footnotes

- ¹"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses)
- Has the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring

locations designated at the time of this determination)?

X	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e g, groundwater
	sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the
	"existing area of groundwater contamination" ²)
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the
·	designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

Contaminated groundwater at the site flows within shallow alluvium and lenticular sandstones underlain by thick claystones. A network of monitoring wells has delineated existing plumes. Several groundwater collection systems and treatment systems have been installed to contain and treat contaminant plumes and other plumes are being monitored for natural attenuation.

- Quarterly RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Reports for Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
- Groundwater Geochemistry Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (January 1995)
- Geological Characterization Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (March 1995)

4	Does "contaminated"	groundwater	discharge into	surface water	bodies?

If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies
If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an
explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater

² "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation

"contamination" does not enter surface water bodies If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

In-stream monitoring and groundwater monitoring indicate that contaminants are entering surface water from groundwater. Surface water bodies potentially affected include North and South Walnut Creeks, Woman Creek, the A-Series Ponds, the B-Series Ponds and the Landfill Pond. The only measurement exceeding surface water standards for organic compounds was taken from an ice-covered pond. Surface water measurements of nitrate in South Walnut Creek have routinely exceeded the surface water standard (10 μ g/L), but not the temporary modification (100 μ g/L). A basic assumption of the Federal Facilities Agreement (RFCA) is that all contaminated groundwater daylights to surface water before leaving the Site. The hydrogeology of the Site contains contains the groundwater within shallow alluvium and lenticular sandstones underlain by thick claystones. This rationale excludes the impacts of radionuclides

- Quarterly RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Reports for Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
- Groundwater Geochemistry Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (January 1995)
- Geological Characterization Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (March 1995)
- Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, July 1996

Is the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" (i.e., the maximum concentration³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?

If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration³ of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing, and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration³ of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing, and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations³ greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8

Rationale and Reference(s)

X

Groundwater exceeding action levels has discharged to surface water at 3 locations

- 1 Mound Site Plume Constituents which exceeded action levels include antimony, manganese, thallium, carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, methylene chloride, tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, vinyl chloride Maximum measured concentrations of trichloroethene have exceeded 100 times the action level resulting in a flux of approximately 07 kg/year based on average flow rates and average concentrations
- 2 <u>East Trenches Plume</u> Carbon tetrachloride exceeds the groundwater action level, tetrachloroethene and trichloroethene exceed 100 times the action level. An annual flux of approximately 1 kg/year has been calculated for tetrachloroethene and 2 3 kg/year for trichloroethene based on average concentrations and average hydraulic conductivities
- 3 Solar Ponds Plume Maximum concentrations measured for antimony, lithium, manganese, nickel, selenium, and thallium exceed groundwater action levels The maximum concentration for nitrate exceeds 100 times the action level

Because of these exceedences of groundwater action levels, source removal actions were conducted and groundwater collection and treatment systems were installed at all 3 locations

- Quarterly RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Reports for Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
- Groundwater Geochemistry Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (January 1995)
- Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, July 1996
- Solar Ponds Plume Decision Document, 1999
- Proposed Action Memorandum for the East Trenches Plume, 1999
- Mound Site Plume Decision Document, 1997
- ³ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e g , hyporheic) zone
- Can the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "currently acceptable" (1 e, not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented⁴)?

X

If yes - continue after either 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater, OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination

If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems

If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

Discharges to surface water from groundwater that contain excessive contaminant concentrations have been addressed by installing groundwater collection and treatment systems

- Quarterly RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Reports for Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
- Groundwater Geochemistry Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (January 1995)
- Geological Characterization Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (March 1995)
- Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, July 1996
- Integrated Monitoring Plan, September 1999
- ⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e g, nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e g, ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies
- ⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems

Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater"

If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events Specifically identify the well/measurement locations

X

which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination" If no - enter "NO" status code in #8

If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8

Rationale and Reference(s)

An Integrated Monitoring Plan monitors the extent and attenuation of these and other contaminant plumes

- Quarterly RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Reports for Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
- Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, July 1996
- Integrated Monitoring Plan, September 1999

Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility)

X

YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site facility, EPA ID #CO7890010526, located at 10808 Highway 93, Golden, CO Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility

NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed

or expected

IN - More information is needed to make a determination

Completed by	(signatur e)		Date	8 31 00
	(print)	Carl Spreng		
	(title)	Federal Facilities Unit Leader		

Supervisor	(signatur e)			Date	8 31 00
	(print)	Susan C	Chakı		
	(tıtle)	Federal	Facilities Unit Leader		
	(EPA Reg State)	gion or	Colorado		

Locations where References may be found

EPA Superfund Records Center - Denver, CO

Colorado Dept Public Health & Environment Information Center - Glendale, CO

Front Range Community College Reading Room - Westminster, CO Standley Lake Public Library - Arvada, CO

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name)	Carl Spreng
(phone #)	303-692-3358
(e-mail)	carl spreng@state co us

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site

Facility Address.	10808 Highway 93, Golden, CO 80403-8200
Facility EPA ID#	CO7890010526
groundwater, s	ble relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e g, from Solid Waste Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in ination?

X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
	if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Facility Name

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e g , reports received and approved, etc) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide))

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA) The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i e, potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors)

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (1 e, RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information)

Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$		_	Based on Historical Release Report,
Air (indoors) ²		X		RI/FS and ROD data
Surface Soil (e g, <2 ft)	X			Contaminants include
Surface Water	X			VOCs, metals, nitrates
Sediment	X			
Subsurf Soil (eg,	X			
>2 ft)				
Air (outdoors)		X		

- If no (for all media) skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded
- If yes (for any media) continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing

supporting documentation

- If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

Past spills, leaks or burials of hazardous materials have contaminated the media noted above. The potential exists for indoor air to be contaminated from groundwater containing volatile organic compounds. This situation has not yet occurred, however, and all the buildings are scheduled for demolition. Outdoor air is continuously monitored and no significant contaminant levels have been observed. This evaluation does not include radionuclides, which are major contaminants at Rocky Flats, but which are not covered by RFCA.

⁻ Historical Release Report for the Rocky Flats Plant, May 1992 (plus quarterly and annual updates)

⁻ RFCA Facility Investigations / Remedial Investigations for Operable Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 15, 16

- Data reports for Operable Units 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14
- Corrective Action Decisions / Records of Decision for Operable Units 1, 3, 11, 15, 16
- -Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, July 1996 (contains standards and action levels used to determine if a contaminated medium could pose an unacceptable risk)

Footnotes

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range)

²Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks

Page 4

Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers Day-Care	Construction Trespassers	Recreation Food ³
Groundwater		N		
Air (indoors)		_N		
Soil (surface, e g, <2 ft)	1-	_Y		
Surface Water	<u> </u>	_N_		
Sediment		_N_		
Soil (subsurface e g, >2 ft)		_Y_		
Air (outdoors)		_N		

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

- 1 Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above
- 2 enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway)

Note In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("___") While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary

If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e g, use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways)

- X If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) continue after providing supporting explanation
- If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) skip to #6
 —— and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

Site security and Health & Safety Plans should alleviate the majority of potential exposures to soils by restricting access and requiring appropriate PPE for those working in contaminated areas. Site and state air monitoring programs should detect releases to the air during remediation and provide a warning

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e g, vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc)

Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"), or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?

X

If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i e, potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant"

If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant"

If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

Contaminant levels in soils are generally very low. Areas of contamination are identified and access to the public and onsite workers is controlled. Contact with soil by workers involved in characterization and remediation activities is controlled by Health & Safety Plans.

- Historical Release Report for the Rocky Flats Plant, May 1992 (plus quarterly and annual updates)
- RFCA Facility Investigations / Remedial Investigations for Operable Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 15, 16
- Data reports for Operable Units 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14
- -Corrective Action Decisions / Records of Decision for Operable Units 1, 3, 11, 15, 16
- Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, July 1996 (contains standards and action levels used to determine if a contaminated medium could pose an unacceptable risk)

5 Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?

 \mathbf{X}

If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) -



⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience

continue and enter "YE" after summarizing \underline{and} referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e g, a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment)

If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure

If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

- Historical Release Report for the Rocky Flats Plant, May 1992 (plus quarterly and annual updates)
- RFCA Facility Investigations / Remedial Investigations for Operable Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 15, 16
- Data reports for Operable Units 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14
- Corrective Action Decisions / Records of Decision for Operable Units 1, 3, 11, 15, 16
- Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, July 1996 (contains standards and action levels used to determine if a contaminated medium could pose an unacceptable risk)

Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility)

X

YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site facility, EPA ID # CO7890010526, located at Golden, CO under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control"

IN - More information is needed to make a determination

Completed	(sıgnatur		Date	8 31 00
by	(e)			
	(print)	Carl Spreng		
	(tıtle)	Environmental Protection		
		Specialist		

Supervisor	(signatur e)			Date	8 31 00
	(print)	Susan C	hakı		
	(tıtle)	Correcti	ve Action Unit Leader		
	(EPA Region or State)		Colorado		

Locations	where	References	may 1	be	found	l

EPA Superfund Records Center - Denver, CO

Colorado Dept Public Health & Environment Information Center - Glendale, CO

Front Range Community College Reading Room - Westminster, CO Standley Lake Public Library - Arvada, CO

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name)	Carl Spreng	
(phone #)	303-692-3358	
(e-mail)	carl spreng@state co us	

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.